Docket No.: 0259-0411PUS1 (PATENT)

Examiner: S. Maewall

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Maurizio Dalle CARBONARE et al.

Application No.: 10/019,387 Confirmation No.: 6340

Filed: March 26, 2003 Art Unit: 1612

For: USE OF HYALURONIC ACID DERIVATIVES

FOR THE PREPARATION OF

PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITIONS AND BIOMATERIALS FOR THE PREVENTION OF

THE FORMATION AND URE OF

**CUTANEOUS SCARS** 

# **DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.132**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

- I. Lanfranco Callegaro, do hereby declare the following:
- 1. Attached is a copy of my curriculum vitae.
- 2. I am working as the Chief Operating Officer of Fidia Farmaceutici, S.p.A. and I have worked in the field of biomedical research and protein chemistry since 1976.
- 3. I am an inventor in the above-referenced patent application, and am familiar with the development and properties of hyaluronic acid derivatives and their uses, in particular to reducing normotrophic scarring.
- 4. I have read and understand the subject matter of the Office Action of March 26, 2010.

Docket No.: 0259-0411PUS1 (PATENT)

5. The following experimental reports and comments are offered in support of the patentability of the instant invention.

- 6. I have attached as Attachment 1 the results of a study conducted to evaluate the effect of different Hyaluronic Acid formulations on wound healing. During the time the Experiments were conducted by Dr. Navsaria, I was the CEO and COO of Fidia Advanced Biopolymers S.r.L. (FaB). The report details experiments which were done with my knowledge and understanding, under the immediate direction of Dr. Alessandra Pavesio, (see page 2). The report details evidence as it was presented to me. I was actively involved in the design and in the discussion of results of the experiments reported in the report.
- 7. The following are my comments on the report in the context of the cited prior art, U.S. Patent 5,939,323 (hereinafter Valentini), Davidson et al., Clinical Materials (1991), and Dorigatti (WO 94/17837).

### 8. Experimental Design

The report by Dr. Navsaria details the method and results of an Experiment comparing the reepithelialization of wounds in a pig model.

The Groups were as follows:

	Material Applied to Wound	Application Times
Group 1	Hyalofill-F <sup>FM</sup> (75% benzyl esterified derivative of HA) +	Hyalofili-F <sup>rM</sup> applied once, on the day of surgery
	Laserskin autograft (keratinocytes seeded onto a total benzyl ester of HA)	Laserskin applied 7 days after surgery
Group 2	Hyalofill-F <sup>r™</sup> (75% benzyl esterified derivative of HA)	Hyaiofili-F™ applied on day 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28
Group 3	Laserskin autograft (keratinocytes seeded onto a total benzyl ester of HA)	Laserskin applied 7 days after surgery
Group 4	<b>V</b>	-

(PATENT)

The animals were given full thickness wounds and treated as above on day 0. All 4 groups were

present on each animal. Animals were anesthatized on days 7, 14, 21, and 28, sites were treated,

each wound was biopsied and photographed.

The results were measured by an image analysis of the photographs taken at each time point.

These photographs and the computer analysis led to a "percent reepithelialisation" end-point.

The photographs were also used to assess the clinical appearance of the wounds at each time

point.

The biopsy was either fixed and stained with Hematoxylin and eosin or Mallory trichromate, or

snap frozen for immunohistochemistry analysis.

9. Results

Percent Reepithelialization

Approximately 70% of the wound is epithelialized in both Groups 1 and 2, both of which were

the 75 % benzyl ester derivative of HA (Navsaria Report, page 8). In contrast, the group treated

on day 7 with the graft of keratinocytes seeded onto a 100% benzyl ester of HA had only 27%

reepithelialisation. Dr. Navsaria states that this result is significant (p<0.0001). (Navsaria

Report, page 8)

Clinical Appearance

Dr. Navsaria indicates that "[T]here was more epidermal cover in wounds treated with Hyalofill

(fig 3A and 3C) as compared to group 3 (fig 3b)." (Navsaria Report, page 8) Again, this

demonstrates that the 75% benzyl ester derivative of HA performed better than the total benzyl

ester of HA (seeded with keratinocytes).

3

## Histology

Dr. Navsaria indicates that the Hyalofill generates "a better organized wound bed . . . as compared to granulation tissue alone" at 1 week after surgery. (Navsaria Report, page 9) In addition, Dr. Navsaria states "[t]he most striking feature is the strong angiogenic response present in the wounds treated with Hyalofill and the blood vessels are perpendicular to the surface (directed angiogenesis)." (Navsaria Report, page 9).

Comparing the performance of the 75% benzyl ester of HA applied on day 0 (group 2) to the group treated with only the 100% benzyl ester of HA applied on day 7 (group 3), Dr. Navsaria states "[t]he collagen appears to be less mature compared with that in the Hyalofill treated wounds at equivalent time points." (Navsaria Report, page 9).

Similarly, comparing the performance of the 75% benzyl ester of HA applied on day 0 which also had the 100% benzyl ester of HA applied on day 7 (group 1) to the 75% benzyl ester of HA applied on day 0 (group 2), the addition of the 100% benzyl ester on day 7 did not appear to make a significant difference. Thus, the addition of the 100% benzyl ester of HA does not significantly increase the wound healing

#### 10. Commentary on Results

One of skill in the art would expect that a total benzyl ester of hyaluronic acid would be the best material for tissue implant/repair and wound healing because Campoccia teaches that a partial benzyl ester of hyaluronic acid produces side effects regarding cell proliferation, adhesion, and cell inflammation. Thus, I consider evidence that the partial benzyl ester is better at wound-healing would be unexpected in light of the Campoccia reference and the knowledge at the time.

This is supported by the results shown in the Navsaria report, which as discussed above, directly compares the total benzyl ester of hyaluronic acid to the 75% benzyl ester of hyaluronic acid in a wound healing model. In my opinion the results demonstrate that the application of a 75% benzyl ester of HA generates unexpectedly better wound epithelialisation, and demonstrate an

Docket No.: 0259-0411PUS1 (PATENT)

unexpectedly strong directed angiogenic response when compared to the 100% benzyl ester of

HA.

In my opinion, these differences would have been unexpected at the time the above-referenced

application was filed.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all

statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these

statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States

Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any

patent issued thereon.

Dated: 09/24/2010

By QQQ Lanfranco Callegaro

Attachments: CV

List of Publications

Navsaria Report (Attachment 1)

Campoccia

5